

Interim Report on a Live Review of Systematic Reviews of Natural Health Products and Natural Therapies in the Prevention and/or Treatment of COVID-19



Iva Lloyd, 1 Kieran Cooley, 2 and Daniella Remy3

ABSTRACT

Objective: This living review of systematic reviews investigates the types and volume of research pertaining to natural health products and therapies as they relate to the prevention and/or treatment of COVID-19 and post-COVID syndrome.

Methods: A monthly search for published peer-reviewed systematic reviews of the topic was initiated May 2022 and is ongoing. Using a systematic keyword search strategy with clear inclusion and exclusion criteria, a summary of the types of studies included, the overall outcome and treatment focus were assessed.

Results: A total of 225 systematic reviews encompassing 5,636 studies of randomized controlled trials (49.8%, n=112), observational studies (21.3%, n=48), clinical studies (20.4%, n=46), and other studies (12%, n=27) were included. Of those, 28.9% (n=65) of the systematic reviews focused on prevention, 67.6% (n=152) on treatment, and 3.1% (n=8) on post-COVID. The natural health products reviewed included herbal medicine, vitamins, minerals, other natural health products, and other therapies, with 83.5% (n=188) of all systematic reviews stating a positive outcome and beneficial potential of the natural treatment or therapy investigated.

Conclusion: This living systematic review concludes that there is a growing interest in research pertaining to natural health products and therapies with respect to the prevention of COVID-19 infections and addressing disease severity and mortality, especially in adjunct to conventional medical intervention. Nonetheless, there is a lack of high-quality evidence and consistency in outcome reporting across the large breadth of natural treatment and management options.

Key Words Living systematic review, post-COVID, natural therapies, vitamins, minerals, herbal medicine.

INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization announced the presence of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) in March of 2020.¹ SARS-CoV-2 was believed to be a novel virus with no known treatment to the array of symptoms generally referred to as COVID-19.¹ As the ensuing pandemic spread globally, the search for treatments and preventive strategies, both within conventional medicine and within the realm of traditional and complementary medicine (T&CM), became a focus internationally.

In March 2020, the World Health Organization (WHO) brought together ten T&CM non-governmental organizations, including the World Naturopathic Federation (WNF), to discuss the role of T&CM in addressing SARS-CoV-2.² In follow-up to that meeting, the WNF worked with naturopathic researchers globally to compile and publish ten rapid reviews on the role that specific natural health products (NHPs) might play in the prevention and/or

treatment of COVID-19.3 As outlined in this paper, T&CM researchers and organizations have contributed a tremendous body of research outlining the role of specific NHPs in the prevention and/or treatment of COVID-19 or the management and treatment of post-COVID syndrome.

In May of 2022, the WNF, in collaboration with the Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine (CCNM), initiated a living review highlighting systematic reviews that had been published with respect to the role of NHPs and/or therapies in the prevention and/or treatment of COVID-19 or the management and treatment of post-COVID syndrome.⁴ This interim report provides a summary of the data collected between May and December 2022. Updated information on this Living Review can be found on the WNF website⁴: https://worldnaturopathicfederation.org/live-review-of-natural-health-products-nhps-researched-with-respect-to-the-covid-pandemic/.

Correspondence to: Dr. Iva Lloyd, ND, 53 Snapdragon Drive., Toronto, ON M2J 4X5, Canada. E-mail: i.lloyd@worldnaturopathicfederation.org

To cite: Lloyd I, Cooley K, Remy D. Interim report on a live review of systematic reviews of natural health products and natural therapies in the prevention and/or treatment of COVID-19. CAND Journal. 2023;30(1):3-15. https://doi.org/10.54434/candj.136

Received: 18 January 2023; Accepted: 26 february 2023; Published: 30 March 2023

© 2023 Canadian Association of Naturopathic Doctors. For permissions, please contact candj@cand.ca.



Aim

This report is an interim narrative review of the systematic reviews that have been conducted with respect to NHPs and natural therapies in the prevention and/or treatment of COVID-19 and/or the management/treatment of post-COVID syndrome. The aim is to highlight the growing interest in NHPs in this area and to list the research on specific types of treatments and therapies that have been investigated within the realm of T&CM.

METHODS

Design

This study is a continual and active high-level monitoring of all systematic reviews on NHPs and natural treatments for the prevention and management of COVID-19 and post-COVID. With the aim of including any new important evidence on a condition that it is new to the world, monthly searches were conducted and up-to-date communication about research status was provided via the WNF website to answer the question: what T&CM products and therapies are being researched with respect to the treatment and/or management of COVID-19 and post-COVID syndrome.

Search Strategy

Starting in May 2022, the researchers performed monthly literature searches. As per Cochrane Guidelines,5 monthly meetings were held to review the research design and search terms used in all databases and make modifications as needed to ensure all new evidence was included for an accurate and complete collection of relevant evidence without any change to the aim or scope of the living review. These monthly meetings also were used to review the articles collected each month to determine whether they met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. This was a necessary part of the process to make sure the collection of articles was continually updated, and that the search incorporated all relevant new evidence on the natural prevention and/or treatment of COVID-19 and post-COVID syndrome available at that time, following the Cochrane guidelines for living systematic reviews.⁵ Because it was a living review, other researchers in this field were asked to submit papers they may have come across, ensuring any articles not published in PubMed or Google Scholar would be included.

PubMed and Google Scholar databases were used, with search terms such as "natur*," "herb*," "nutraceutical," "botanical," "medicinal plant," "Ayurvedic," "Chinese medicine," "herbal patent formula," "vitamin," "mineral," combined with "prevention," "prophylaxis," "deficiency," "treatment," "management," and "*COVID*," "Coronavirus," "SARS-CoV-2." Additionally, individual herb names, compounds, vitamins, and minerals cited in the literature were searched. Though this report has drawn a line in the sand in December 2022 to publish the results thus far, monthly updates on published systematic reviews on the topic will continue.

The current absence of statistical analysis is deliberate and reflective of the abundance of new systematic reviews. Once the number of published articles declines significantly, this living review will likely be transitioned into a systematic review including an analysis of the quality of evidence available.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Only systematic reviews were included, with no restrictions regarding publication language. Articles were therefore included if the researchers used a structured search of databases, were transparent about their methodological criteria for their study inclusion/exclusion criteria, and presented a summary of conclusions about cumulative outcomes.

Excluded were narrative reviews, secondary analyses, literature reviews, editorial discussions, best practice guidelines, and book chapters. On occasion, a title or abstract stated the paper was a systematic review, but it did not provide the details of databases searched, inclusion/exclusion criteria, or other methodological rigour, so was ultimately excluded.

Table 1 summarizes the PICO eligibility criteria requirements for study inclusion of systematic reviews.

Data Mining

An online spreadsheet was used to collate included studies and extract relevant data. For each paper, the full reference, abstract link, full text link, year of publication, country of the primary author, WHO Region of the primary author, number of studies included in the systematic review, type of studies included, number of participants, short summary of findings, conclusion of the findings, treatment focus, and details of treatment focus were extracted. Keywords were used to itemize included topics relevant to NHPs and approaches (see Table 2).

Data Management

The data collected from each study was based on the information outlined by the authors in each systematic review for each analytic analyzed. The types of studies were grouped into randomized controlled trials (RCTs), clinical trials, observational studies, and other (which included other qualitative and quantitative studies on humans or animals, case reports, guidelines and other reviews, in vitro, and in silico studies). Studies were categorized as having a positive, neutral, or negative outcome. The outcome recorded was based on the authors' interpretation of their study. There were three options for the treatment category—prevention, treatment, or post-COVID—as outlined in the abstract. The categories for treatment focus included herbal medicine, vitamins, minerals, other NHPs (e.g., quercetin, probiotics, fish oils), and other therapies (e.g., Ayurveda, Qi Gong, nutrition, exercise). Details of the treatment focus included a listing of each specific herb, nutrient, or treatment provided. As outlined in

Table 1 PICO inclusion criteria

Population	Clinical or observational (humans of any age or gender, and in any setting), <i>in vivo</i> (including animal studies), <i>in vitro</i> , or <i>in silico</i> (including molecular docking)
Intervention	Any natural health product or approach
Comparison	No limitation for comparator studies
Outcome	Any symptom, biological marker, diagnostic criteria, or viral traits related to severe acute respiratory syndrome or viral respiratory tract infections of the coronavirus or COVID-19.



Table 2, if a paper included multiple terms for the same nutrient (e.g., Vitamin C, Ascorbic acid, ascorbate) only the main term was included in the list.

Data Analysis

Using standard Excel counting formulas, the number of occurrences for each defined word was counted and summary data tables were compiled. Descriptive statistics were prepared for each analytic (i.e., frequency). It was possible for systematic reviews to include more than one treatment focus or individual treatment, hence the totals included in those categories is greater than the total number of systematic reviews for that category.

Limitations

The data collected from each systematic review was not assessed for a risk of bias nor quality grading. The aim of this study was to highlight the amount of new evidence emerging on a monthly basis to create awareness of options health practitioners could investigate for patient care. Articles labelled as finding positive, negative, or neutral results were based on the author's findings and conclusions. Some studies outlined that the NHPs and/or treatments studied were an adjunctive approach as opposed to independent interventions and hence direct conclusions about the NHPs and/or treatments are difficult to isolate.

Table 2 Keywords and their definitions, as used in the collection and analysis of the data

Keywords	Terms Included in the Keywords
Vitamins	
Vitamin A	Vitamin A, Retinol, Retinoid
Vitamin C	Vitamin C, Ascorbic acid, Ascorbate
Vitamin D	Vitamin D, Cholecalciferol, Hydroxycalciferol
Vitamin B	Vitamin B1, Thiamine, Vitamin B2, Riboflavin, Vitamin B3, Niacin, Vitamin B5, Pantothenic acid, Vitamin B6, Pyridoxine, Vitamin B7, Biotin, Vitamin B9, Folate, Folic acid, Vitamin B12, Cobalamin
Vitamin E	Vitamin E, Tocopherol
Carotene	Alpha Carotene, Beta Carotene, Carotenoid
Minerals	
Herbal Medicine	
Quinones	Quinone, Hydroxymethyl anthraquinone, Anthraquinone
Saponins	Saponin, Shikonin, Glycyrrhizic acid, Glycyrrhizin, Ruscogenin, Senegenin
Phenolic acids	Phenolic acids, Gallic acid, Vanillic acid, Syringic acids, Cinnaminic acid, Caffeic acid, Ferulic acid, Sinapic acid
Coumarin	Coumarin, Coumaric acid
Tannins	Tannin, Proanthocyanidin, Gallotannins, Ellagitannins
Turmeric	Curcuma longa, Curcumin, Turmeric
Patent Herbal Formula	Includes any herbal formula used in traditional Chinese, Korean, Ayurvedic or other medicine.

Note: Herbs are referred to by their first Latin name (e.g.: Allium = Garlic)

Acupuncture	Acupuncture, Electro-acupuncture
TCM	TCM, Chinese herbal medicine, Korean herbal medicine, Oriental medicine, Chinese patent medicine
Nutrition	Nutritional interventions, Nutritional inadequacies, Diet, Fruit and vegetable intake, identification of specific diets, spices
Other Therapies	
Steroids	Steroid, Steroidal compounds, Diosgenin
Catechins	Catechin, Epigallocatechin gallate, EGCG
Flavonoids	Flavonoid, Isoflavones, Hesperetin, Silymarin, Silibinin, Astilbin, Acacetin, Puerarin, Apigenin, Nobiletin, Tangeretin, Chalcone, Artemetin
Glucosides	Glucoside, Glycoside, Salidroside, Ulinastatin, Forsythoside, Polydatin, Ginsenoside, Fraxin
Terpenes	Terpene, Lactone, Euphorbia, Bisabolol, Picfeltarraenin, Jolkinolide, Anthocyanin
Polysaccharides	Polysaccharide, Lectin, Glycoprotein, Glycans, Concanavalin A, Agglutinin, Fructo-oligosaccharides, Galacto-oligosaccharides
Polyphenols	Polyphenol, Resveratrol, Bergenin, Rosmarinic acid, Dicaffeoylquinic acid
Probiotics	Probiotics, Symbiotics, Lactobacillus (coryniformis, paracasei, fermentum, casei, acidophilus, brevis, plantarum, reuteri, rhamnoses, and lactis), Bifidobacterium (lactis, bifidum, longum, short, animalis subsp. Lactis), Saccharomyces boulardii, Streptococcus thermophilus, Bacillus subtilis, Pediococcus pentosaceus
Quercetin	Quercetin, Flavone
Omega3	Omega3, EPA, DHA, Cod liver oil, Fish oil
Other NHPs	

NHP = natural health product; TCM = traditional and complementary medicine.



This live review focused solely on systematic reviews, which means some NHPs and therapies may not have been included if they have not yet been studied at the level of systematic review. This applies especially to novel approaches for which primary research studies are being conducted. Similarly, reviews on post-COVID are limited, as this is a phenomenon still being unraveled and treatments for post-COVID are still being explored.

Though some studies included the word "systematic review" in their title, they may have been excluded from the review because of their lack of transparency to the methodology and data analysis. It is recommended that researchers adhere to reporting guidelines for systematic reviews, such as the Cochrane or PRISMA Guidelines, to provide quality evaluations and summaries of all the available primary research. Nonetheless, our study did not assess the quality of the studies included, and publication bias was not assessed.

RESULTS

Study Selection

Between May and December 2022, a total of 225 systematic reviews focused on the role of NHPs or natural therapies with respect to the prevention and/or treatment of COVID-19, or post-COVID systems. 6-211 Of those, 28.9% focused on prevention, 67.6% on treatment, and 3.6% on post-COVID. In sum, 5,636 studies were reported as part of the systematic reviews, involving over 4 million participants and a reference list of 8,870 citations. With regard to the publication dates, 9.8% of the papers were published in 2020, 33.3% in 2021, and 56.9% in 2022.

Geographical Representation

With respect to geographical representation, 36.9% of the systematic reviews originated in the Western Pacific region, 18.2% in the European region, 15.6% in South-East Asia, 12.0% in the Eastern Mediterranean, 11.6% in the region of the Americas, and 5.8% in the African region. Our analysis indicated that systematic reviews on the prevention and/or treatment of COVID-19 have originated in 25 countries, with 21% (n=12) of those focused on prevention of COVID-19 originating in Iran, 11% (n=6) in the United Kingdom, and 9% (n=5) in both China and India. Of those focused on treatment of COVID-19, 33.6% (n=48) originated in China, 12.6% (n=18) in India, 8.4% (n=12) in Iran, and 7.0% (n=10) in Brazil.

Types of Studies

As outlined in Table 3, 49.8% of all systematic reviews were based on RCTs, 21.3% on observational studies, 20.4% on clinical or pre-clinical trials, and 12.0% included mixed designs and other types of studies. Of the systematic reviews focused on treatments, 59.2% (n=90) were based on RCTs, compared with 24.6% (n=16) focused on prevention. Among the pre-clinical trials, a total of 15 articles included *in vitro* or *in silico* studies to examine the effects a particular compound could have on SARS-CoV-2, while all animal and human studies were more focused on specific markers of disease (e.g., inflammatory markers, such as IL-6, TNF-alpha, etc.), clinical indicators of disease (e.g., blood oxygen

levels, viral shedding, etc.), or symptoms of disease (e.g., fever, coughing, etc.).

Study Outcomes

The outcomes of the systematic reviews were recorded as positive, negative or neutral, or mixed based on the stated outcome of the authors. As such, 83.5% of all systematic reviews stated a positive outcome, 7.6% indicated a mixed response, and 6.8% a negative or neutral response. The percentage of positive outcomes between prevention and treatment reviews were 81.5% (n=53) and 84.9% (n=129), respectively. Of the prevention studies, 13.8% (n=9) stated a mixed outcome response, and 9.2% (n=14) of the treatment studies indicated a negative or neutral response.

Research Focus

As per Table 3, 41.8% of all systematic reviews researched herbal medicines, with 54.6% (n=83) focusing on herbal medicines as a treatment, versus 6% (n=4) as prevention. In comparison, 27% of reviews focused on vitamins as a form of treatment, but that percentage increased to 67.7% (n-44) in the systematic reviews focused on prevention. A total of 13.3% researched other therapies (including nutrition, breathing, exercise, etc.), 13.8% other NHPs, and 12.0% researched minerals.

As outlined in Table 4, the systematic reviews focused on prevention most researched Vitamin A (n=42), Vitamin D (n=42), Zinc (n=11), and Selenium (n=10). In the treatment studies, patent herbal formulas were the most common (n=50), followed by Vitamin D (n=32), Vitamin C (n=23), Turmeric ($Curcuma\ longa$) (n=11), and Zinc (n=11). The number of systematic reviews focusing on post-COVID is currently not sufficient to identify specific research focuses.

Nearly all (91%) of the publications focused on the benefit of natural treatments and therapies as adjunctive approaches to COVID-19. Research on natural treatments or therapies as independent interventions were limited to non-clinical studies to assess individual compounds and their specific molecular effects.

DISCUSSION

The growing number of systematic reviews and the wide range of NHPs and natural therapies researched with respect to the prevention and treatment of COVID-19 indicate a strong interest in the role of T&CM.

Growing Interest in the Role of NHPs and Natural Therapies in the Prevention and Treatment of COVID-19

The interest in the role of NHPs in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19 appears to be gaining momentum, with 17 systematic reviews being published in 2020, 75 in 2021, and at least 134 in 2022. The focus of the systematic reviews is broad and includes most vitamins, 6 minerals, a wide range of herbal medicines both as individual herbs and as patent herbal formulae, over 12 other individual NHPs (e.g., probiotics, flavonoids, quercetin, melatonin, etc.), and other therapies (i.e., Ayurveda, lifestyle, diet and nutrition, exercise, breathing, yoga, etc.). Studies on prevention



Table 3 Summary of the number of systematic reviews included, the geographical region, year of publication, type of studies included outcomes and area of study focus

WHO Region Prevention Treatment Post-COVID Totals Number of papers 28.9% (n=65) 67.6% (n=152) 3.6% (n=8) 25 Number of studies 1983 3494 120 5.636 WHO Region 4.6% (n=3) 5.3% (n=8) 25% (n=2) 5.8% (n=13) Americas 9.2% (n=6) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 11.6% (n=26) Eastern Mediterranean 20.3% (n=21) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 18.2% (n=41) South-East Asia 12.3% (n=8) 17.8% (n=27) 0 15.6% (n=35) Western Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 18.2% (n=41) Year of Publication 1.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2020 5.2% (n=4) 11.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2021 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 52.3% (n=34) 57.2% (n=87) 87.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 25 Study 8CT 4.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=				_	!
Number of studies 1983 3494 120 5,636 WHO Region African region 4.6% (n=3) 5,3% (n=8) 25% (n=2) 5,8% (n=13) Americas 9,2% (n=6) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 11.6% (n=26) Eastern Mediterranean 20% (n=13) 9,2% (n=14) 0 12.0% (n=27) European 32.3% (n=21) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 18.2% (n=41) South-East Asia 12.3% (n=8) 17.8% (n=27) 0 15.6% (n=35) Western Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 36.9% (n=83) Year of Publication 2 45.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=42) 36.9% (n=22) 2020 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 52.3% (n=34) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 9.9% (n=128) Typer of Study 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.3% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n	WHO Region	Prevention	Treatment	Post-COVID	Totals
WHO Region African region 4.6% (n=3) 5.3% (n=8) 25% (n=2) 5.8% (n=13) Americas 9.2% (n=6) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 11.6% (n=26) Eastern Mediterranean 20% (n=13) 9.2% (n=14) 0 12.0% (n=27) European 32.3% (n=21) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 18.2% (n=41) South-East Asia 12.3% (n=8) 17.8% (n=27) 0 15.6% (n=35) Western Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 36.9% (n=83) Year of Publication 21.5% (n=14) 11.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2020 6.2% (n=4) 11.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2021 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 23.3% (n=34) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=61) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=12)	Number of papers	28.9% (n=65)	67.6% (n=152)	3.6% (n=8)	225
African region 4.6% (n=3) 5.3% (n=8) 25% (n=2) 5.8% (n=13) Americas 9.2% (n=6) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 11.6% (n=26) Eastern Mediterranean 20% (n=13) 9.2% (n=14) 0 12.0% (n=27) European 32.3% (n=21) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 18.2% (n=41) South-East Asia 12.3% (n=8) 17.8% (n=27) 25% (n=2) 15.6% (n=35) Western Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 36.9% (n=83) Vestern Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 41.9% (n=18) 0 9.6% (n=22) 2020 6.2% (n=4) 11.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2021 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=5) 2022 52.3% (n=34) 59.2% (n=80) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=61) Ottspecified 7.7% (n=5) 49.9% (n=129)	Number of studies	1983	3494	120	5,636
Americas 9.2% (n=6) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 11.6% (n=26) Eastern Mediterranean 20% (n=13) 9.2% (n=14) 0 12.0% (n=27) European 32.3% (n=21) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 18.2% (n=41) South-East Asia 12.3% (n=8) 17.8% (n=27) 0 15.6% (n=35) Western Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 36.9% (n=83) Western Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 36.9% (n=83) Western Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 36.9% (n=83) Western Pacific 41.5% (n=21) 41.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2020 62.9% (n=42) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 52.3% (n=27) 30.9% (n=87) 87.5% (n=7) 56.9% (n=128) Type of Study RCT 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50.0% (n=4) 20.4% (n=64) <th< th=""><th>WHO Region</th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></th<>	WHO Region				
Eastern Mediterranean 20% (n=13) 9.2% (n=14) 0 12.0% (n=27) European 32.3% (n=21) 11.8% (n=18) 25% (n=2) 18.2% (n=41) South-East Asia 12.3% (n=8) 17.8% (n=27) 0 15.6% (n=35) Wester Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 36.9% (n=83) Year of Publication V V V V 2020 6.2% (n=4) 11.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2021 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 52.3% (n=34) 57.2% (n=87) 87.5% (n=7) 56.9% (n=128) Type of Study V V V V V V V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V P V <	African region	4.6% (n=3)	5.3% (n=8)	25% (n=2)	5.8% (n=13)
European 32.3% (n-21) 11.8% (n-18) 25% (n-2) 18.2% (n-41) South-East Asia 12.3% (n-8) 17.8% (n-27) 0 15.6% (n-35) Western Pacific 21.5% (n-14) 44.1% (n-67) 25% (n-2) 36.9% (n-83) Year of Publication Very Publication 2020 6.2% (n-4) 11.9% (n-18) 0 9.8% (n-22) 2021 41.5% (n-27) 30.9% (n-47) 12.5% (n-1) 33.3% (n-75) 2022 52.3% (n-34) 57.2% (n-87) 87.5% (n-7) 56.9% (n-128) Type of Study RCT RCT 24.6% (n-16) 59.2% (n-90) 75% (n-6) 49.8% (n-112) Observational 40.0% (n-26) 13.8% (n-21) 12.5% (n-1) 21.3% (n-48) Clinical 16.9% (n-11) 20.4% (n-31) 50% (n-4) 20.4% (n-46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n-16) 6.6% (n-10) 12.5% (n-1) 12.0% (n-27) Unspecified 7.7% (n-5) 4.6% (n-12) 75.0% (n-6) 83.5% (n-188) Mixed 81.5% (n-53) 84.9%	Americas	9.2% (n=6)	11.8% (n=18)	25% (n=2)	11.6% (n=26)
South-East Asia 12.3% (n=8) 17.8% (n=27) 0 15.6% (n=35) Western Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 36.9% (n=83) Year of Publication 2020 6.2% (n=4) 11.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2021 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 52.3% (n=34) 57.2% (n=87) 87.5% (n=7) 56.9% (n=128) Type of Study RCT 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 31.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1%	Eastern Mediterranean	20% (n=13)	9.2% (n=14)	0	12.0% (n=27)
Western Pacific 21.5% (n=14) 44.1% (n=67) 25% (n=2) 36.9% (n=83) Year of Publication 2020 6.2% (n=4) 11.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2021 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 52.3% (n=34) 57.2% (n=87) 87.5% (n=7) 56.9% (n=128) Type of Study RCT 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 53.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=18) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 27.0% (n=41)	European	32.3% (n=21)	11.8% (n=18)	25% (n=2)	18.2% (n=41)
Year of Publication 2020 6.2% (n=4) 11.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2021 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 52.3% (n=34) 57.2% (n=87) 87.5% (n=7) 56.9% (n=128) Type of Study RCT 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 5.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Type of Study 21.5% (n=1) 12.5% (n=	South-East Asia	12.3% (n=8)	17.8% (n=27)	0	15.6% (n=35)
2020 6.2% (n=4) 11.9% (n=18) 0 9.8% (n=22) 2021 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 52.3% (n=34) 57.2% (n=87) 87.5% (n=7) 56.9% (n=128) Type of Study RCT 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 53.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 2.7.0% (n=44) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Treatment Focus 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12	Western Pacific	21.5% (n=14)	44.1% (n=67)	25% (n=2)	36.9% (n=83)
2021 41.5% (n=27) 30.9% (n=47) 12.5% (n=1) 33.3% (n=75) 2022 52.3% (n=34) 57.2% (n=87) 87.5% (n=7) 56.9% (n=128) Type of Study RCT 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 5.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=8) Teatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=27) Unspecified 6.0% (n=4)	Year of Publication				
2022 52.3% (n=34) 57.2% (n=87) 87.5% (n=7) 56.9% (n=128) Type of Study RCT 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 5.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive Mixed 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine	2020	6.2% (n=4)	11.9% (n=18)	0	9.8% (n=22)
Type of Study RCT 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 5.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products </th <th>2021</th> <th>41.5% (n=27)</th> <th>30.9% (n=47)</th> <th>12.5% (n=1)</th> <th>33.3% (n=75)</th>	2021	41.5% (n=27)	30.9% (n=47)	12.5% (n=1)	33.3% (n=75)
RCT 24.6% (n=16) 59.2% (n=90) 75% (n=6) 49.8% (n=112) Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 5.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12	2022	52.3% (n=34)	57.2% (n=87)	87.5% (n=7)	56.9% (n=128)
Observational 40.0% (n=26) 13.8% (n=21) 12.5% (n=1) 21.3% (n=48) Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 5.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive Mixed 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12.5% (n=1) 13.8% (n=31)	Type of Study				
Clinical 16.9% (n=11) 20.4% (n=31) 50% (n=4) 20.4% (n=46) Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 5.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12.5% (n=1) 13.8% (n=31)	RCT	24.6% (n=16)	59.2% (n=90)	75% (n=6)	49.8% (n=112)
Other/mixed 24.6% (n=16) 6.6% (n=10) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 5.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12.5% (n=1) 13.8% (n=31)	Observational	40.0% (n=26)	13.8% (n=21)	12.5% (n=1)	21.3% (n=48)
Unspecified 7.7% (n=5) 4.6% (n=7) 0 5.3% (n=12) Outcome Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12.5% (n=1) 13.8% (n=31)	Clinical	16.9% (n=11)	20.4% (n=31)	50% (n=4)	20.4% (n=46)
Outcome Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12.5% (n=1) 13.8% (n=31)	Other/mixed	24.6% (n=16)	6.6% (n=10)	12.5% (n=1)	12.0% (n=27)
Positive 81.5% (n=53) 84.9% (n=129) 75.0% (n=6) 83.5% (n=188) Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12.5% (n=1) 13.8% (n=31)	Unspecified	7.7% (n=5)	4.6% (n=7)	0	5.3% (n=12)
Mixed 13.8% (n=9) 3.9% (n=6) 25.0% (n=2) 7.6% (n=17) Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12.5% (n=1) 13.8% (n=31)	Outcome				
Negative/neutral 1.5% (n=1) 9.2% (n=14) 0 6.8% (n=15) Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12.5% (n=1) 13.8% (n=31)	Positive	81.5% (n=53)	84.9% (n=129)	75.0% (n=6)	83.5% (n=188)
Treatment Focus Vitamins 67.7% (n=44) 27.0% (n=41) 37.5% (n=3) 39.1% (n=88) Minerals 21.5% (n=14) 7.9% (n=12) 12.5% (n=1) 12.0% (n=27) Herbal medicine 6.0% (n=4) 54.6% (n=83) 75.0% (n=6) 41.8% (n=94) Other natural health products 6.1% (n=4) 1701% (n=26) 12.5% (n=1) 13.8% (n=31)	Mixed	13.8% (n=9)	3.9% (n=6)	25.0% (n=2)	7.6% (n=17)
Vitamins $67.7\% (n=44)$ $27.0\% (n=41)$ $37.5\% (n=3)$ $39.1\% (n=88)$ Minerals $21.5\% (n=14)$ $7.9\% (n=12)$ $12.5\% (n=1)$ $12.0\% (n=27)$ Herbal medicine $6.0\% (n=4)$ $54.6\% (n=83)$ $75.0\% (n=6)$ $41.8\% (n=94)$ Other natural health products $6.1\% (n=4)$ $1701\% (n=26)$ $12.5\% (n=1)$ $13.8\% (n=31)$	Negative/neutral	1.5% (n=1)	9.2% (n=14)	0	6.8% (n=15)
Minerals 21.5% ($n=14$) 7.9% ($n=12$) 12.5% ($n=1$) 12.0% ($n=27$) Herbal medicine 6.0% ($n=4$) 54.6% ($n=83$) 75.0% ($n=6$) 41.8% ($n=94$) Other natural health products 6.1% ($n=4$) 1701% ($n=26$) 12.5% ($n=1$) 13.8% ($n=31$)	Treatment Focus				
Herbal medicine $6.0\% (n=4)$ $54.6\% (n=83)$ $75.0\% (n=6)$ $41.8\% (n=94)$ Other natural health products $6.1\% (n=4)$ $1701\% (n=26)$ $12.5\% (n=1)$ $13.8\% (n=31)$	Vitamins	67.7% (n=44)	27.0% (n=41)	37.5% (n=3)	39.1% (n=88)
Other natural health products 6.1% ($n=4$) 1701% ($n=26$) 12.5% ($n=1$) 13.8% ($n=31$)	Minerals	21.5% (n=14)	7.9% (n=12)	12.5% (n=1)	12.0% (n=27)
•	Herbal medicine	6.0% (n=4)	54.6% (n=83)	75.0% (n=6)	41.8% (n=94)
Other therapies $15.4\% (n=10)$ $11.2\% (n=17)$ $37.5\% (n=3)$ $13.3\% (n=30)$	Other natural health products	6.1% (n=4)	1701% (n=26)	12.5% (n=1)	13.8% (n=31)
	Other therapies	15.4% (n=10)	11.2% (n=17)	37.5% (n=3)	13.3% (n=30)

WHO = World Health Organization; RCT = randomized controlled trial.

tend to focus more on nutritional status and the use of vitamins and minerals, as well as lifestyle and diet. Studies focused on treatment most commonly focus on individual or patent herbal formulae. Both prevention and treatment studies focus on vitamins and minerals, but the prevention studies put a greater emphasis on Vitamin A, whereas the treatment studies focus on Vitamin C. Vitamin D is the most common vitamin researched. Treatment studies research a range of other NHPs, whereas the same trend is not found in the prevention studies.

The focus of the systematic reviews is common to what T&CM practitioners have historically used in the treatment of upper respiratory tract infections. The therapies highlighted in the rapid reviews conducted by the WNF in May 2020 supported the findings of the systematic reviews as of the end of 2022. That is, the most common natural therapies to consider in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19 and post-COVID include Vitamin C,²¹² Vitamin D,²¹³ multivitamins,²¹⁴ Zinc,²¹⁵ Quercetin,²¹⁶

N-Acetyl-cysteine (NAC),²¹⁷ essential oils,²¹⁸ and the herbs *Echinacea*,²¹⁹ *Hedera helix*,²²⁰ and *Sambucus nigra*.²²¹

Despite the mounting volume of research on natural approaches for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19, there has been limited acknowledgement by or interest from governments with respect to the role of T&CM either adjunctively or individually with respect to the prevention and/or treatment of COVID-19 and/or post-COVID.

Global Contribution

The contribution of systematic reviews focused on the role of NHPs and treatments in the prevention and management of COVID-19 has been global, with 25 countries spanning all WHO regions contributing to the body of knowledge. Researchers from China have contributed the highest number of systematic reviews, with a primary focus being on the use of traditional Chinese herbal patents in the treatment of COVID-19. The high number



Table 4 Details of natural health products and natural therapies that were researched in the systematic reviews

Natural health product or natural therapy	Prevention	Treatment	Post-COVID	Total
Herbal Medicines				
FCM herbal patents*		32.9% (n=50)		22.2% (n=50)
Turmeric (Curcuma longa)		7.2% (n=11)		4.9% (n=11)
icorice (Glycyrrhiza glabra)		4.6% (n=7)	25.0% (n=2)	4.0% (n=9)
Ginger (Zingiber officinale)		3.3% (n=5)		2.2% (n=5)
Andrographis (Andrographis paniculate)		2.6% (n=4)		1.8% (n=4)
Echinacea (Echinacea angustifolia)	1.5% (n=1)	2.0% (n=3)		1.8% (n=4)
Garlic (Allium sativum)		2.0% (n=3)		1.3% (n=3)
Ginseng (Panax ginseng)		2.0% (n=3)		1.3% (n=3)
Ashwagandha (Withania somnifera)		1.3% (n=2)		0.9% (n=2)
Essential oils		0.6% (n=1)	12.5% (n=1)	0.9% (n=2)
ndividual herbs*	4.5% (n=3)	2.6% (n=4)	25.0% (n=2)	4.0% (n=9)
/itamins				
/itamin A	64.6% (n=42)	2.6% (n=4)	12.5% (n=1)	20.9% (n=47)
3 Vitamins	6.1% (n=4)	3.3% (n=5)		4.0% (n=9)
/itamin C	9.2% (n=6)	15.1% (n=23)		12.9% (n=29)
/itamin D	64.6% (n=42)	21.0% (n=32)		20.9% (n=47)
/itamin E		3.9% (n=6)		2.7% (n=6)
Minerals				
linc	16.9% (n=11)	7.2% (n=11)	12.5% (n=1)	10.2% (n=23)
Selenium	15.4% (n=10)	3.9% (n=6)		7.1% (n=16)
ron	9.2% (n=6)			2.7% (n=6)
Calcium	4.6% (n=3)	0.6% (n=1)		1.8% (n=4)
Magnesium	4.6% (n=3)			1.3% (n=3)
Phosphorus	3.1% (n=2)			0.9% (n=2)
Other NHPs				
Probiotics		5.9% (n=9)		4.0% (n=9)
Flavonoids		5.9% (n=9)		4.0% (n=9)
Polyphenols		4.6% (n=7)		3.1% (n=7)
Quercetin		3.9% (n=6)		2.7% (n=6)
Melatonin		3.9% (n=6)		2.7% (n=6)
Propolis		3.9% (n=6)		2.7% (n=6)
Polysaccharides		1.3% (n=2)		0.9% (n=2)
Omega 3	.5% (n=3)	1.3% (n=2)		2.2% (n=5)
N-acetylcysteine (NAC)		1.3% (n=2)		0.9% (n=2)
Other NHPs**	1.5% (n=1)		37.5% (n=3)	1.8% (n=4)
Other Therapies				
Ayurveda		5.9% (n=9)		4.0% (n=9)
ifestyle	6.1% (n=4)			1.8% (n=4)
Diet	6.1% (n=4)			1.8% (n=4)
Homeopathy		1.3% (n=2)		0.9% (n=2)
Pulmonary rehabilitation/ breathing			12.5%(n=1)	0.4% (n=1)
Acupuncture		0.6% (n=1)	12.5%(n=1)	0.9% (n=2
Exercise/physical therapy		0.6% (n=1)	12.5%(n=1)	0.9% (n=2)
Ozone		0.6% (n=1)		0.4% (n=1)

TCM = traditional and complementary medicine; NHP = natural health product.

^{*}Indicates the number of individual herbs that appeared in only one systematic review.

^{**}Indicates the number of other natural health products that appeared in only one systematic review.



of studies originating from China may reflect the recognition of the Chinese government that both Traditional Chinese medicine and Western (or conventional) medicine can be used in combination to prevent and treat COVID-19.²²² With NHPs and natural therapies commonly used in North America, it is surprising to see the lack of research originating in this region. This may be due to the restrictions placed on T&CM healthcare workers with respect to the management of patients with COVID-19.

Positive Outcomes

Randomized control trials are recognized as the gold standard for evaluating the effectiveness of an intervention.²²³ Over half of all the systematic reviews were based on RCTs and the systematic reviews focused on both prevention and treatment indicated positive outcomes, above 84% in the NHP and/or natural therapy that was being researched. More of the prevention studies (13.8%) indicated a mixed outcome, whereas 9.2% of the systematic reviews focused on treatment indicated a neutral or negative outcome. The high percentage of RCTs and the high rate of positive outcomes support further investigation of the role of natural therapies in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19.

None of the studies suggested that natural therapies or treatments should be used in isolation. Rather, they indicated use as a complement to conventional medicine. Integrative approaches to treatment or prevention included addressing nutrient deficiencies, combining medical care (e.g., adding an herbal or natural health product to pharmaceutical treatment), or managing symptoms (e.g., reducing inflammation or respiratory burden). Based on the abundance of research supporting the benefit of natural medicine as an adjunctive approach to COVID-19 treatment and prevention, the researchers see no grounds for inhibiting the use of T&CM as a general practice.

CONCLUSION

This live systematic review concludes that there is growing interest in research to support consideration of NHPs and therapies in the prevention and the treatment of COVID-19 in order to decrease disease severity and mortality, as an adjunctive therapy to conventional medical intervention, and that the range of NHPs and therapies studied is broad. Further research that follows consistent standard reporting is warranted.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹World Naturopathic Federation, Toronto, ON, Canada; Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine, Toronto, ON, Canada; ²Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine, Toronto, ON, Canada; University of Technology Sydney, Ultimo, Australia; Pacific College of Health Sciences, San Diego, USA; National Centre for Naturopathic Medicine, Southern Cross University, Lismore, Australia; ³World Naturopathic Federation, Toronto, ON, Canada; Canadian College of Naturopathic Medicine, Toronto, ON, Canada; Precision Health Alliance, Brisbane, Australia; Electronic Multinational Research Group – International Consulting, Inc, Toronto, ON, Canada.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the following individuals, who assisted with this project: Katherine Pomykacz (Canada), Colombe Verges (Switzerland), Celine Manoloff (Switzerland), Melissa Murphy (USA), and Tristan Carter (Australia).

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST DISCLOSURE

We have read and understood the *CAND Journal*'s policy on conflicts of interest and declare that we have none.

FUNDING

The authors are grateful to the World Naturopathic Federation (WNF) for funding this research.

REFERENCES

- WHO: World Health Organization. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) pandemic. Accessed December 15, 2022. https://www.who.int/europe/ emergencies/situations/covid-19
- WNF_WHO: World Naturopathic Federation, 2nd quarter update 2020. Accessed December 15, 2022. https://worldnaturopathicfederation.org/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/2020_April.pdf
- Steel A, Wardle J, Lloyd I. The potential contribution of traditional, complementary and integrative treatments in acute viral respiratory tract infections: Rapid Reviews in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Adv in Integ Med 2020;7:181-182
- 4. WNF live review: live review of natural health products (NHPs) researched with respect to the COVID pandemic. Accessed December 17, 2022. https://worldnaturopathicfederation.org/live-review-of-natural-health-products-nhps-researched-with-respect-to-the-covid-pandemic/
- 5. Cochrane. 2019. Guidance for the production and publication of Cochrane living systematic reviews: Cochrane Reviews in living mode (version December 2019). Accessed February 2023. https://community.cochrane.org/review-production/production-resources/living-systematic-reviews
- Afolabi AA, Ilesanmi OS. Community engagement for COVID-19 prevention and control: a systematic review. Pub Health Toxicol. 2022;2(2):1-17.
- Akhtar S, Das JK, Ismail T, Wahid M, Saeed W, Bhutta ZA. Nutritional perspectives for the prevention and mitigation of COVID-19. *Nutr Rev*. 2021;79(3):289-300. doi: 10.1093/nutrit/nuaa063
- 8. Kiyumi MH, Kalra S, Davies JS, Kalhan A. The impact of vitamin D deficiency on the severity of symptoms and mortality rate among adult patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Indian J Endocrinol Metab.* 2021;25(4):261-282. doi: 10.4103/ijem.ijem_115_21
- Banerjee M, Pal R, Dutta S. Risk of incident diabetes post-COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Prim Care Diabetes*. 2022;16(4):591-593. doi: 10.1016/j.pcd.2022.05.009
- Bassatne A, Basbous M, Chakhtoura M, El Zein O, Rahme M, El-Hajj Fuleihan G. The link between COVID-19 and Vitamin D (VIVID): a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Metabolism*. 2021;119:154753. doi:10.1016/j.metabol.2021.154753
- 11. Bignardi P, Castello P, Aquino B. Association between Vitamin D and COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Authorea Preprints*. 2022. https://www.authorea.com/doi/full/10.22541/au.164864554.45248145/v1
- 12. Borsche L, Glauner B, von Mendel J. COVID-19 mortality risk correlates inversely with vitamin D3 status, and a mortality rate close to zero could theoretically be achieved at 50 ng/mL 25(OH)D3: results of a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients*. 2021;14;13(10):3596. doi: 10.3390/nu13103596
- 13. Chatterjee P, Nirgude A, Chatterjee PK. Healthy eating—a modifiable contributor to optimize healthy living in the COVID-19 pandemic: a review. *J Sci Food Agric*. 2022;102(5):1751-1758.
- 14. Chiodini I, Gatti D, Soranna D, et al. Vitamin D status and SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 clinical outcomes. *Front Pub Health*. 2021;22;9:736665. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.736665
- Crafa A, Cannarella R, Condorelli RA, et al. Influence of 25-hydroxycholecalciferol levels on SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 severity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eclinical Medicine*. 2021;37:100967. doi: 10.1016/j.eclinm.2021.100967
- Dadras O, SeyedAlinaghi S, Karimi A, et al. COVID-19 mortality and its predictors in the elderly: a systematic review. Health sci reports. 2022;5(3):e657.
- Del Giudice MM, Indolfi C, Dinardo G, Decimo F, Decimo A, Klain A. Vitamin D status can affect COVID-19 outcomes also in pediatric population. *PharmaNutrition*. 2022;22:100319. doi: 10.1016/j.phanu.2022.100319



- Dissanayake HA, de Silva NL, Sumanatilleke M, et al. Prognostic and therapeutic role of vitamin D in COVID-19: systematic review and metaanalysis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2021;dgab892. doi: 10.1210/clinem/dgab892
- Dramé M, Cofais C, Hentzien M, et al. Relation between Vitamin D and COVID-19 in aged people: a systematic review. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(4):1339. doi: 10.3390/nu13041339
- Ebrahimzadeh A, Mohseni S, Narimani B, et al. Association between vitamin D status and risk of covid-19 in-hospital mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2021;1-11. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2021.2012419
- Fakhrolmobasheri M, Mazaheri-Tehrani S, Kieliszek M, et al. COVID-19 and selenium deficiency: a systematic review. *Biol Trace Elem Res.* 2021;5:1– 12. doi: 10.1007/s12011-021-02997-4
- Flouchi R, Fikri-Benbrahim K. Prevention of COVID 19 by aromatic and medicinal plants: a systematic review. J Pharma Sci Res. 2020;12(8):1106-1111
- 23. Ghasemian R, Shamshirian A, Heydari K, et al. The role of vitamin D in the age of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2021;75(11):e14675. doi: 10.1111/ijcp.14675
- Gigli L. Lifestyle under the light of nutrological and psychological aspects in the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. Int J Nutrology. 2022;15(2).
- Halim C, Mirza AF, Sari MI. The association between TNF-α, IL-6, and Vitamin D levels and COVID-19 severity and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pathogens*. 2022;11(2):195. doi: 10.3390/ pathogens11020195
- Hossain MA, Kim JH. Possibility as role of ginseng and ginsenosides on inhibiting the heart disease of COVID-19: a systematic review. *J Ginseng Res*. 2022;46(3):321-330. doi: 10.1016/j.jgr.2022.01.003
- Hu H, Pan H, Li R, He K, Zhang H, Liu L. Increased circulating cytokines have a role in COVID-19 severity and death with a more pronounced effect in males: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:802228. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.802228
- Hu Y, Kung J, Cave A, Banh HL. Effects of vitamin D serum level on morbidity and mortality in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pharm Pharm Sci. 2022;25:84-92. doi: 10.18433/jpps32590
- Hung KC, Ko CC, Wang LK, et al. Association of prognostic nutritional index with severity and mortality of hospitalized patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Diagnostics*. 2022;12(7):1515.
- Hunter J, Arentz S, Goldenberg J, et al. Zinc for the prevention or treatment of acute viral respiratory tract infections in adults: a rapid systematic review and meta-analysis of randomised controlled trials. BMJ Open. 2021;11(11):e047474.
- 31. James PT, Ali Z, Armitage AE, et al. The role of nutrition in COVID-19 susceptibility and severity of disease: a systematic review. *J Nutr.* 2021;151(7):1854-1878. doi: 10.1093/jn/nxab059
- James PT, Ali Z, Armitage AE, et al. Could nutrition modulate COVID-19 susceptibility and severity of disease? A systematic review. J Nutr. 2021;151(7):1854-1878. doi: 10.1093/jn/nxab059
- Jayawardena R, Sooriyaarachchi P, Chourdakis M, Jeewandara C, Ranasinghe P. Enhancing immunity in viral infections, with special emphasis on COVID-19: a review. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2020;14(4):367-382. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2020.04.015
- Jolliffe DA, Camargo Jr CA, Sluyter JD, et al. Vitamin D supplementation to prevent acute respiratory infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis of aggregate data from randomised controlled trials. *Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol*. 2021;9(5):276-292.
- Jordan T, Siuka D, Rotovnik NK, Pfeifer M. COVID-19 and vitamin D—a systematic review. Slovenian J Public Health. 2022;61(2):124-132.
- Kazemi A, Mohammadi V, Aghababaee SK, Golzarand M, Clark CCT, Babajafari S. Association of vitamin D status with SARS-CoV-2 infection or COVID-19 severity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Adv Nutr. 2021;12(5):1636-1658. doi: 10.1093/advances/nmab012. Erratum in: Adv Nutr. 2021;12(5):2040-2044.
- Liu N, Sun J, Wang X, Zhang T, Zhao M, Li H. Low vitamin D status is associated with coronavirus disease 2019 outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2021;104:58-64. doi: 10.1016/j.ijid.2020.12.077

- Luo S, Liang Y, Wong THT, Schooling CM, Au Yeung SL. Identifying factors contributing to increased susceptibility to COVID-19 risk: a systematic review of Mendelian randomization studies. *Int J Epidemiol*. 2022;51(4):1088-1105.
- 39. Mahmood R, McLaren S. A systematic review investigating the risk of COVID-19 severity and mortality associated with vitamin D sufficiency and deficiency within the adult population. *Proc Nutr Soc.* 2022; 81(OCE1).
- Mazaheri-Tehrani S, Mirzapour MH, Yazdi M, Fakhrolmobasheri M, Abhari AP. Serum vitamin D levels and COVID-19 during pregnancy: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Clinical Nutrition ESPEN. 2022;51:120-127. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp.2022.09.008
- 41. Mazidimoradi A, Alemzadeh E, Alemzadeh E, Salehiniya H. The effect of polyunsaturated fatty acids on the severity and mortality of COVID patients: a systematic review. *Life Sci.* 2022;299:120489. doi: 10.1016/j.lfs.2022.120489
- Migliorini F, Vaishya R, Eschweiler J, Oliva F, Hildebrand F, Maffulli N. Vitamins C and D and COVID-19 susceptibility, severity and progression: an evidence based systematic review. *Medicina*. 2022;58(7):941.
- 43. Mirzay-Razaz J, Hassanghomi M, Ajami M, Koochakpoor G, Hosseini-Esfahani F, Mirmiran P. Effective food hygiene principles and dietary intakes to reinforce the immune system for prevention of COVID-19: a systematic review. *BMC Nutr.* 2022;8(1):1-13.
- Mishra P, Parveen R, Bajpai R, Agarwal N. Vitamin D deficiency and comorbidities as risk factors of COVID-19 infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Prev Med Public Health. 2022;55(4):321-333. doi: 10.3961/ jpmph.21.640
- 45. Moore E, Fadel A, Lane KE. The effects of consuming a Mediterranean style diet on associated COVID-19 severity biomarkers in obese/overweight adults: a systematic review. *Nutr Health*. 2022;28(4):647-667. doi:10.1177/02601060221127853
- 46. Munshi R, Hussein MH, Toraih EA, et al. Vitamin D insufficiency as a potential culprit in critical COVID-19 patients. *J Med Virol.* 2021;93(2):733-740. doi: 10.1002/jmv.26360
- 47. Nouruzi S, Vasheghani Farahani A, Rezaeizadeh H, Ghafouri P, Ghorashi SM, Omidi N. Platelet aggregation inhibition: an evidence-based systematic review on the role of herbs for primary prevention based on randomized controlled trials. *Iran J Med Sci.* 2022;47(6):505-516.
- 48. Pechlivanidou E, Vlachakis D, Tsarouhas K, et al. The prognostic role of micronutrient status and supplements in COVID-19 outcomes: a systematic review. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2022;162:112901. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2022.112901
- Pereira M, Dantas Damascena A, Galvão Azevedo LM, de Almeida Oliveira T, da Mota Santana J. Vitamin D deficiency aggravates COVID-19: systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2022;62(5):1308-1316. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2020.1841090
- 50. Petrelli F, Luciani A, Perego G, Dognini G, Colombelli PL, Ghidini A. Therapeutic and prognostic role of vitamin D for COVID-19 infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 43 observational studies. *J Steroid Biochem Mol Biol.* 2021;211:105883. doi: 10.1016/j.jsbmb.2021.105883
- 51. Shah K, Varna VP, Pandya A, Saxena D. Low vitamin D levels and prognosis in a COVID-19 pediatric population: a systematic review. *QJM*. 2021;114(7):447-453. doi: 10.1093/qjmed/hcab202
- 52. Shah K, Varna VP, Sharma U, Mavalankar D. Does vitamin D supplementation reduce COVID-19 severity?: a systematic review. QJM. 2022;115(10):665-672. doi: 10.1093/qjmed/hcac040
- Shokri-Mashhadi N, Kazemi M, Saadat S, Moradi S. Effects of select dietary supplements on the prevention and treatment of viral respiratory tract infections: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Expert Rev Respir Med.* 2021;15(6): 805-821. doi: 10.1080/17476348.2021.1918546
- 54. Sloan KP, Sloan LA, Goulart RA, et al. Effects of Vitamin D in the prophylaxis and treatment of COVID-19: a systematic review. *Med Res Archives*. 2022;10(6).
- Smit M, Marinosci A, Agoritsas T, Calmy A. Prophylaxis for COVID-19: a systematic review. Clin Microbiol Infect. 2021;27(4):532-537.
- Szarpak L, Rafique Z, Gasecka A, et al. A systematic review and metaanalysis of effect of vitamin D levels on the incidence of COVID-19. *Cardiol J.* 2021;28(5):647-654. doi: 10.5603/CJ.A2021.0072



- 57. Tentolouris N, Samakidou G, Eleftheriadou I, Tentolouris A, Jude EB. The effect of vitamin D supplementation on mortality and intensive care unit admission of COVID-19 patients. A systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2022;38(4):e3517.
- Teshome A, Adane A, Girma B, Mekonnen ZA. The impact of Vitamin D level on COVID-19 infection: systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Pub Health. 2021;9:624559. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2021.624559
- Vallish BN, Dang D, Dang A. Nature and mechanism of immune boosting by Ayurvedic medicine: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. World J Methodol. 2022;12(3):132.
- 60. Vaughan M, Trott M, Sapkota R, et al. Changes in 25-hydroxyvitamin D levels post-vitamin D supplementation in people of Black and Asian ethnicities and its implications during COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review. J Hum Nutr Diet. 2021;35(5):995-1005. doi: 10.1111/jhn.12949
- Vlieg-Boerstra B, de Jong N, Meyer R, et al. Nutrient supplementation for prevention of viral respiratory tract infections in healthy subjects: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Allergy*. 2021;77(5):1373-1388. doi: 10.1111/all.15136
- Wang MX, Gwee SXW, Pang J. Micronutrients deficiency, supplementation and novel Coronavirus infections—a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2021;13(5):1589. doi: 10.3390/nu13051589
- Wang Z, Joshi A, Leopold K, et al. Association of vitamin D deficiency with COVID-19 infection severity: systematic review and meta-analysis. Clin Endocrinol (Oxf). 2022;96(3):281-287. doi: 10.1111/cen.14540
- Wang Y, Nan L, Hu M, et al. Significant association between anemia and higher risk for COVID-19 mortality: a meta-analysis of adjusted effect estimates. Am J Emerg Med. 2022;58:281-285.
- Widowati AR, Sutrisno S. Zinc deficiency as predictor of COVID-19 severity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Asian J Health Res. 2022;1(2):75-79.
- Abdelazeem B, Awad AK, Elbadawy MA, et al. The effects of curcumin as dietary supplement for patients with COVID-19: a systematic review of randomized clinical trials. *Drug Discov Ther*. 2022;16(1):14-22.
- 67. Abioye AI, Bromage S, Fawzi W. Effect of micronutrient supplements on influenza and other respiratory tract infections among adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ Global Health*. 2021;6(1):e003176.
- Ahmed I, Mustafaoglu R, Yeldan I, Yasaci A, Erhan B. Effect of pulmonary rehabilitation approaches on dyspnea, exercise capacity, fatigue, lung functions and quality of life in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Arch Phys Med Rehab. 2022;103(10):2051-2062. doi: 10.1016/j.apmr.2022.06.007
- Aldhafiri FK. Dietary supplements and nutraceuticals in the recovery of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrition Clinique et Métabolisme*. 2022;36(3):173-181. doi: 10.1016/j.nupar.2022.07.001
- Ali AM, Kunugi H. Propolis, bee honey, and their components protect against coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a review of in silico, in vitro, and clinical studies. *Molecules*. 2021;26(5):1232.
- Alvares MA, Ribas BHB, Miranda GBD, et al. Clinical prognosis of coronavirus disease 2019 in children and vitamin D levels: a systematic review. Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira. 2022;68:712-715.
- Amaral-Machado L, Oliveira WN, Rodrigues VM, Albuquerque NA, Alencar ÉN, Egito, ES. Could natural products modulate early inflammatory responses, preventing acute respiratory distress syndrome in COVID-19confirmed patients? *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2021;134:111143.
- Ang L, Song E, Hu XY, Lee HW, Chen Y, Lee MS. Herbal medicine intervention for the treatment of COVID-19: a living systematic review and cumulative meta-analysis. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:906764. doi: 10.3389/ fphar.2022.906764
- Ang L, Song E, Lee HW, Lee MS. Herbal medicine for the treatment of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. J Clin Med. 2020;9(5):1583.
- Ao G, Li J, Yuan Y, et al. Intravenous vitamin C use and risk of severity and mortality in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutr Clin Pract*. 2022;37(2):274-281
- Arentz S, Hunter J, Khamba B, et al. Honeybee products for the treatment and recovery from viral respiratory infections including SARS-COV-2: a rapid systematic review. *Integr Med Res.* 2021;10(Suppl):100779. doi: 10.1016/j.imr.2021.100779

- Argano C, Mallaci Bocchio R, Monaco ML, et al. An overview of systematic reviews of the role of vitamin D on inflammation in patients with diabetes and the potentiality of its application on diabetic patients with COVID-19. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2022;23(5):2873. doi: 10.3390/ijms23052873
- 78. Aucoin M, Cardozo V, McLaren MD, et al. A systematic review on the effects of *Echinacea* supplementation on cytokine levels: is there a role in COVID-19? *Metabol Open.* 2021;11:100115.
- Avan R, Mazidimoradi A, Salehiniya H. Effect of magnesium on severity and mortality of COVID-19 patients: a systematic review. *J Acute Dis.* 2022;11(4):120.
- 80. Ayosanmi OS, Alli BY, Akingbule OA, et al. Prevalence and correlates of self-medication practices for prevention and treatment of COVID-19: a systematic review. *Antibiotics*. 2022;11(6):808.
- Badakhsh M, Dastras M, Sarchahi Z, Doostkami M, Mir A, Bouya S. Complementary and alternative medicine therapies and COVID-19: a systematic review. Rev Environ Health. 2021;36(3):443-450. doi: 10.1515/ reveh-2021-0012
- 82. Baladia E, Pizarro AB, Ortiz-Muñoz L, Rada G. Vitamin C for COVID-19: a living systematic review. *Medwave.* 2020;20(6):e7978. doi: 10.5867/medwave.2020.06.7978
- 83. Balboni E, Zagnoli F, Filippini T, Fairweather-Tait SJ, Vinceti M. Zinc and selenium supplementation in COVID-19 prevention and treatment: a systematic review of the experimental studies. *J Trace Elem Med Biol.* 2022;71:126956. doi: 10.1016/j.jtemb.2022.126956
- 84. Bania A, Pitsikakis K, Mavrovounis G, et al. Therapeutic vitamin D supplementation following COVID-19 diagnosis: where do we stand? A systematic review. *J Pers Med.* 2022;12(3):419. doi: 10.3390/jpm12030419
- 85. Batista KS, de Albuquerque JG, de Vasconcelos MHA, et al. Probiotics and prebiotics: potential prevention and therapeutic target for nutritional management of COVID-19? *Nutr Res Rev.* 2021:1-18.
- 86. Beran A, Mhanna M, Srour O, et al. Clinical significance of micronutrient supplements in patients with Coronavirus disease 2019: a comprehensive systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Nutr ESPEN*. 2022;48:167-177. doi:10.1016/j.clnesp.2021.12.033
- 87. Beressa TB, Deyno S, Mtewa AG, et al. Potential benefits of antiviral African medicinal plants in the management of viral infections: systematic review. *Front Pharmacol.* 2021;12:682794. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.682794
- 88. Boet S, Etherington C, Ghanmi N, et al. Efficacy and safety of hyperbaric oxygen treatment to treat COVID-19 pneumonia: a living systematic review update. *Diving Hyperb Med.* 2022;52(2):126-135.
- 89. Budi DS, Rofananda IF, Pratama NR, et al. Ozone as an adjuvant therapy for COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Immunopharmacol*. 2022;110:109014. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2022.109014
- Cara K, Beauchesne AR, Li R, Chung M. Cochrane review summary on "vitamin D supplementation for the treatment of COVID-19: a living systematic review". J Diet Suppl. 2022;19(1):143-145.
- 91. Chien T, Liu C, Chang Y, et al. Therapeutic effects of herbal-medicine combined therapy for COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Front Pharmacol.* 2022;13:950012. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.950012
- 92. Chun HS, Choi SH, Song HS. A meta-analysis of treatment effects on viral pneumonia using TCM injections specified in the clinical guideline for COVID-19 in China. *J Pharmacopunct*. 2021;24(3):107.
- 93. Corrao S, Mallaci Bocchio R, Lo Monaco M, et al. Does evidence exist to blunt inflammatory response by nutraceutical supplementation during COVID-19 pandemic? An overview of systematic reviews of vitamin D, vitamin C, melatonin, and zinc. *Nutrients*. 2021;13(4):1261.
- D'Ecclesiis O, Gavioli C, Martinoli C, et al. Vitamin D and SARS-CoV2 infection, severity and mortality: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PlOS One. 2022;17(7):e0268396.
- da Rocha AP, Atallah AN, Aldrighi JM, Pires ALR, Dos Santos Puga ME, Pinto ACPN. Insufficient evidence for vitamin D use in COVID-19: a rapid systematic review. *Int J Clin Pract*. 2021;75(11):e14649. doi: 10.1111/ ijcp.14649
- Dash MK, Joshi N, Tripathi YB. Identification of therapeutic targets for controlling COVID-19 pandemic by traditional system of Ayurvedic medicines: a systematic review. *Indian J Trad Know*. 2020;19(4):S11-S24.



- Dilokthornsakul W, Kosiyaporn R, Wuttipongwaragon R, Dilokthornsakul P. Potential effects of propolis and honey in COVID-19 prevention and treatment: a systematic review of in silico and clinical studies. *J Integr Med*. 2022;20(2):114-125. doi: 10.1016/j.joim.2022.01.008
- Du XQ, Sh LP, Cao WF, Chen ZW, Zuo B, Hu JY. Add-on effect of honeysuckle in the treatment of Coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12:708636. doi: 10.3389/ fphar.2021.708636
- Ebenezer O, Bodede O, Awolade P, Jordaan MA, Ogunsakin RE, Shapi M. Medicinal plants with anti-SARS-CoV activity repurposing for treatment of COVID-19 infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acta Pharmaceutica*. 2022;72(2):199-224.
- El Zakhem A, Chalhoub MA, Bassil M. The role of herbal and nutritional treatments in the fight against COVID-19 and other respiratory tract infections. *Int J Environ Res Pub Health*. 2021;18(22):12001.
- 101. Escalante HMDLL, Hasan N, Delgado AG, Soto S, Vivas JMG. A group of homoeopathic medicines for COVID-19: a systematic review of clinical features. *Indian J Res Homoeopath*. 2021;15(2):123.
- 102. Fan AY, Gu S, Alemi SF. Chinese herbal medicine for COVID-19: current evidence with systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Integrat Med.* 2020;18(5):385-394.
- 103. Fan Z, Guo G, Che X, et al. Efficacy and safety of Lianhuaqingwen for mild or moderate Coronavirus disease 2019: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Medicine*. 2021;100(21):e26059. doi: 10.1097/ MD.0000000000026059
- 104. Faridzadeh A, Tabashiri A, Miri HH, Mahmoudi M. The role of melatonin as an adjuvant in the treatment of COVID-19: a systematic review. *Heliyon*. 2022;8(10):e10906. doi: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e10906
- Farsi Y, Tahvildari A, Arbabi M, et al. Diagnostic, prognostic, and therapeutic roles of gut microbiota in COVID-19: a comprehensive systematic review. Front Cell Infect Microbiol. 2022;12:804644. doi: 10.3389/fcimb.2022.804644
- 106. Feiner Solís Á, Avedillo Salas A, Luesma Bartolomé MJ, Santander Ballestín S. The effects of vitamin D supplementation in COVID-19 patients: a systematic review. Int J Molec Sci. 2022;23(20):12424.
- Feng Z, Yang J, Xu M, et al. Dietary supplements and herbal medicine for COVID-19: a systematic review of randomized control trials. *Clin Nutr* ESPEN. 2021;44:50-60.
- 108. Foshati S, Mirjalili F, Rezazadegan M, Fakoorziba, F, Amani R. Antioxidants and clinical outcomes of patients with Coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review of observational and interventional studies. *Food Sci Nutr.* 2022;10(12):4112-4125. doi: 10.1002/fsn3.3034
- 109. Gandhi G, Thimmappa L, Upadhya N, Carnelio S. Could mouth rinses be an adjuvant in the treatment of SARS-CoV-2 patients? An appraisal with a systematic review. *Int J Dent Hygiene*. 2022;20(1):136-144.
- 110. Gavrielatou E, Xourgia E, Xixi NA, et al. Effect of vitamin C on clinical outcomes of critically ill patients with COVID-19: an observational study and subsequent meta-analysis. Front Med. 2022;9:814587. doi: 10.3389/ fmed.2022.814587
- 111. Gosik MS, Mendes MFX, da Silva Barbas D, et al. Medicines for the new Coronavirus in the view of classical systemic homeopathy. *Complement Ther Clin Pract*. 2021;45:101482. doi: 10.1016/j.ctcp.2021.101482
- 112. Guo J, Qin, Z, Lau NC, et al. Chinese medicine for Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a GRADE-assessed systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Chin Med.* 2021;50(1):1-31. doi: 10.1142/S0192415X2250001X
- 113. Halabchi F, Selk-Ghaffari M, Tazesh B, Mahdaviani B. The effect of exercise rehabilitation on COVID-19 outcomes: a systematic review of observational and intervention studies. Sport Sci Health. 2022;18(4):1201-1219. doi: 10.1007/s11332-022-00966-5
- 114. Hariyanto TI, Intan D, Hananto JE, Harapan H, Kurniawan A. Vitamin D supplementation and COVID-19 outcomes: a systematic review, meta-analysis and meta-regression. *Rev Med Virol.* 2022;32(2):e2269.
- Her L, Kanjanasilp J, Chaiyakunapruk, N, Sawangjit R. Efficacy and safety of eucalyptus for relieving cough: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. J Integrat Complement Med. 2022;28(3):218-226.
- Hosseini B, El Abd A, Ducharme FM. Effects of vitamin D supplementation on COVID-19 related outcomes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Nutrients. 2022;14(10):2134.

- 117. Huang L, Wang L, Tan J, Liu H, Ni Y. High-dose vitamin C intravenous infusion in the treatment of patients with COVID-19: a protocol for systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2021;100(19):e25876. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000025876
- 118. Isidoro C, Chang ACF, Sheen LY. Natural products as a source of novel drugs for treating SARS-CoV2 infection. *J Trad Complement Med.* 2022;12(1):1-5. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcme.2022.02.001
- 119. Javed D, Dixit AK, Mukherjee S, Anwar S, Giri N. Ayurveda, Unani, Siddha, and homoeopathy medicines as an adjuvant in the treatment of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Prim Care Special*. 2022;3(3):49-62.
- 120. Jayawardena R, Sooriyaarachchi P, Chourdakis M, Jeewandara C, Ranasinghe P. Enhancing immunity in viral infections, with special emphasis on COVID-19: a review. *Diabetes Metab Syndr.* 2020;14(4):367-382. doi: 10.1016/j.dsx.2020.04.015
- 121. Jeon SR, Kang JW, Ang L, Lee HW, Lee MS, Kim TH. Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) interventions for COVID-19: an overview of systematic reviews. *Integr Med Res.* 2022;11(3):100842. doi: 10.1016/j. imr.2022.100842
- 122. Fei J, Xu N, Zhou Y, et al. Contribution of traditional Chinese medicine combined with conventional Western medicine treatment for the novel Coronavirus disease (COVID-19), current evidence with systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phytother Res.* 2021:35(11):5992-6009. doi: 10.1002/ptr.7209
- 123. Jin D, Wang J, Xue J, et al. Contribution of Chinese herbal medicine in the treatment of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Phytother Res.* 2022. doi: 10.1002/ptr.7669
- 124. Jovic TH, Ali SR, Ibrahim N, et al. Could vitamins help in the fight against COVID-19? *Nutrients*. 2020;12(9):2550.
- Kang X, Jin D, Jiang L, et al. Efficacy and mechanisms of traditional Chinese medicine for COVID-19: a systematic review. Chin Med. 2022;17(1):1-13.
- 126. Kaul R, Paul P, Kumar S, Büsselberg D, Dwivedi VD, Chaari A. Promising antiviral activities of natural flavonoids against SARS-CoV-2 targets: systematic review. *Int J Mol Sci.* 2021;22(20):11069.
- 127. Kaur M, Soni KD, Trikha A. Does vitamin D improve all-cause mortality in critically ill adults? An updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Indian J Critic Care Med.* 2022;26(7):853-862.
- 128. Kesheh MM, Shavandi S, Haeri Moghaddam N, Ramezani M, Ramezani F. Effect of herbal compounds on coronavirus; a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Virol J.* 2022;19(1):1-17.
- 129. Keya TA, Leela A, Fernandez, Habib, N, Rashid M. Effect of vitamin C supplements on respiratory tract infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Cur Rev Clin Exp Pharmacol*. 2022;17(3):205-215. doi: 10.2174/277 2432817666211230100723
- 130. Khan S, Zaidi SA, Arsh A, Haleem MH. Pulmonary physical therapy techniques for the management of COVID-19 patients: a systematic review. *J Pak Med Assoc.* 2022;72(9):1820-1826. doi: 10.47391/JPMA.4748
- 131. Khuntia BK, Sharma V, Wadhawan M, et al. Antiviral potential of Indian medicinal plants against influenza and SARS-CoV: a systematic review. Nat Prod Commun. 2022;17(3):1934578X221086988. doi: 10.1177/1934578X221086988
- 132. Kim TH, Kang JW, Jeon SR, Ang L, Lee HW, Lee MS. Use of traditional, complementary and integrative medicine during the COVID-19 pandemic: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Med. 2022;9:884573. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2022.884573
- 133. Kow CS, Ramachandram DS, Hasan SS. The effect of curcumin on the risk of mortality in patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized trials. *Phytother Res.* 2022;36(9):3365-3368. doi: 10.1002/ptr.7468
- 134. Kumar A, Rai A, Khan MS, et al. Role of herbal medicines in the management of patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Trad Complement Med.* 2022;12(1):100-113. doi: 10.1016/j.jtcme.2022.01.002
- Kümmel LS, Krumbein H, Paraskevi C, et al. Vitamin D supplementation for the treatment of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Front Immunol. 2022;13:1023903-1023903.



- Kwak SG, Choo YJ, Chang MC. The effectiveness of high-dose intravenous vitamin C for patients with coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Complement Ther Med. 2021;64:102797. doi: 10.1016/j. ctim.2021.102797
- Lan SH, Lee HZ, Chao CM, Chang SP, Lu LC, Lai CC. Efficacy of melatonin in the treatment of patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. *J Med Virol.* 2022;94(5):2102-2107. doi: 10.1002/jmv.27595
- 138. Lem FF, Opook F, Lee DJH, Chee FT, Lawson FP, Chin SN. Molecular mechanism of action of repurposed drugs and traditional Chinese medicine used for the treatment of patients infected with COVID-19: a systematic scoping review. *Front Pharmacol.* 2021;11:2413.
- 139. Li F, Jiang Y, Yue B, Luan L. Use of traditional Chinese medicine as an adjunctive treatment for COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2021;100(30):e26641. doi: 10.1097/MD.00000000000026641
- Li L, Xie H, Wang L, et al. The efficacy and safety of combined Chinese herbal medicine and Western medicine therapy for COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Chin Med. 2022;17(1):1-37
- 141. Li M, Zhu H, Liu Y, et al. Role of traditional Chinese medicine in treating severe or critical COVID-19: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials and observational studies. *Front Pharmacol*. 2022;13:926189. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2022.926189
- 142. Li R, Zhao W, Wang H, Toshiyoshi M, Zhao Y, Bu H. Vitamin A in children's pneumonia for a COVID-19 perspective: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 15 trials. *Medicine*. 2022;101(42):e31289. doi: 10.1097/ MD.000000000031289
- 143. Li YX, Li J, Zhang Y, et al. Clinical practice guidelines and experts' consensuses for treatment of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) patients with Chinese herbal medicine: a systematic review. Chin J Integr Med. 2020;26(10):786-793.
- 144. Liang SB, Fang M, Liang CH, et al. Therapeutic effects and safety of oral Chinese patent medicine for COVID-19: a rapid systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Complement Ther Med. 2021;60:102744.
- 145. Liu M, Gao Y, Yuan Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of herbal medicine (Lianhuaqingwen) for treating COVID-19: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Integr Med Res.* 2021;10(1):100644.
- 146. Luo X, Ni X, Lin J, et al. The add-on effect of Chinese herbal medicine on COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phytomedicine*. 2021;85:153282.
- 147. Luo X, Zhang Y, Li H, et al. Clinical evidence on the use of Chinese herbal medicine for acute infectious diseases: an overview of systematic reviews. Front Pharmacol. 2022;13:752978-752978.
- Maideen NMP. Prophetic medicine-Nigella sativa (black cumin seeds) potential herb for COVID-19? J Pharmacopunct. 2020;23(2):62.
- Mani JS, Johnson JB, Steel JC, et al. Natural product-derived phytochemicals as potential agents against coronaviruses: a review. Virus Res. 2020;284:197989.
- 150. Marmitt DJ, Goettert MI, Rempel C. Compounds of plants with activity against SARS-CoV-2 targets. Exp Rev Clin Pharmacol. 2021;14(5):623-633.
- 151. Mehraeen E, Najafi Z, Hayati B, et al. Current treatments and therapeutic options for COVID-19 patients: a systematic review. *Infect Disord Drug Targets*. 2022;22(1):62-73.
- 152. Migliorini F, Vaishya R, Eschweiler J, Oliva F, Hildebrand F, Maffulli N. Vitamins C and D and COVID-19 susceptibility, severity and progression: an evidence based systematic review. *Medicina*. 2022;58(7):941.
- Nahak MPM, Putri SI, Rofiq Z, et al. Penggunaan herbal Dalam menghadapi pandemi COVID-19: a systematic review. Avicenna. 2022;5(1).
- 154. Namiranian P, Sadatpour O, Jamalkandi SA, Ayati MH, Karimi M. Antiviral activity of medicinal plants against human Coronavirus: a systematic scoping review of *in vitro* and *in vivo* experimentations. *J Trad Chin Med.* 2022;42(3):332
- 155. Neris Almeida Viana S, do Reis Santos Pereira T, de Carvalho Alves J, et al. Benefits of probiotic use on COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr. 2022;1-13. doi: 10.1080/10408398.2022.2128713
- 156. Nicolussi S, Ardjomand-Woelkart K, Stange R, Gancitano G, Klein P, Ogal M. Echinacea as a potential force against coronavirus infections? A mini-review of randomized controlled trials in adults and children. Microorganisms. 2022;10(2):211.

- 157. Olczak-Pruc M, Swieczkowski D, Ladny JR, et al. Vitamin C supplementation for the treatment of COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients*. 2022;14(19):4217.
- 158. Onyiba CI. A systematic review of garlic and ginger as medicinal spices against viral infections. *Extens Rev.* 2022;2(1):32-44.
- Pang W, Liu Z, Li N, et al. Chinese medical drugs for Coronavirus disease 2019:
 a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Integrat Med Res.* 2020;9(3):100477.
- Pechlivanidou E, Vlachakis D, Tsarouhas K, et al. The prognostic role of micronutrient status and supplements in COVID-19 outcomes: a systematic review. Food Chem Toxicol. 2022;162:112901. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2022.112901
- 161. Pertiwi KR, Atun S. Potential Indonesian herbs to develop a mix herbal immunomodulator supplement: a hermeneutic systematic review. *J Drug Alcohol Res.* 2022;11(1).
- 162. Rai AK, Ahmed A, Mundada P, et al. Efficacy and safety of AYUSH-64 in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. SSRN Elec J. 2022. doi:10.2139/ssrn.4049618
- Rawat D, Roy A, Maitra S, Gulati A, Khanna P, Baidya DK. Vitamin C and COVID-19 treatment: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Diabetes Metab Syndr*. 2021;15(6):102324. doi: 10.1016/j. dsx.2021.102324
- 164. Rocco F, Manuele B, Michele M, Michele B, Patrizio B. The role of probiotics in the symptomatic treatment of COVID-19. *Int J Dentistry Oral Sci.* 2021;8(5):2423-2426.
- 165. Saleh G, Ahmed A, Hassanain O, et al. Nutrition in cancer patients positive for COVID-19; case series and a systematic review of literature. *Nutr Cancer*. 2022;74(2):450-462.
- 166. Schrire ZM, Phillips CL, Chapman JL, et al. Safety of higher doses of melatonin in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Pineal Res. 2021:e12782.
- SeyedAlinaghi S, Afzalian A, Pashaei Z, et al. Gut microbiota and COVID-19:
 a systematic review. Health Sci Rep. 2022;6(2):e1080. doi: 10.1002/hsr2.1080
- 168. Shah I, Raytthatha N, Vyas J, Upadhyay U. A systematic review on COVID 19 treatment and management. *RJPDFT*. 2021;13(3):230-238.
- 169. Shah K, Adhikari C, Sharma S, Saha S, Saxena D. Yoga, meditation, breathing exercises, and inflammatory biomarkers with possible implications in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2022:3523432. doi: 10.1155/2022/3523432
- 170. Shah K, Varna VP, Sharma U, Mavalankar D. Does vitamin D supplementation reduce COVID-19 severity? A systematic review. *QJM*. 2022;115(10):665-672.
- 171. Shi S, Wang F, Yao H, et al. Oral Chinese herbal medicine on immune responses during Coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Front Med.* 2021;8:685734. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2021.685734
- 172. Shokri-Mashhadi N, Kazemi M, Saadat S, Moradi S. Effects of select dietary supplements on the prevention and treatment of viral respiratory tract infections: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Exp Rev Respir Med*. 2021;15(6):805-821. doi: 10.1080/17476348.2021.1918546
- 173. Singh RS, Singh A, Kaur H, et al. Promising traditional Indian medicinal plants for the management of novel Coronavirus disease: a systematic review. *Phytother Res.* 2021;35(8):4456-4484.
- 174. Sobrinho RCS, de Meneses IR, Alves BC, et al. Can propolis and their compounds be efficacy in the treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)? A systematic review. *Res, Soc Dev.* 2022;11(8):e3411830302-e3411830302.
- 175. Sokary S, Ouagueni A, Ganji V. Intravenous ascorbic acid and lung function in severely ill COVID-19 patients. *Metabolites*. 2022;12(9):865.
- 176. Stroehlein JK, Wallqvist J, Iannizzi C, et al. Vitamin D supplementation for the treatment of COVID-19: a living systematic review. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2021;5(5):CD015043. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD015043
- 177. Sun CY, Sun YL, Li XM. The role of Chinese medicine in COVID-19 pneumonia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Emerg Med*. 2020;38(10):2153-2159. doi: 10.1016/j.ajem.2020.06.069
- 178. Tasleem A, Wang Y, Li K, et al. Effects of mental health interventions among people hospitalized with COVID-19 infection: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry*. 2022;77:40-68. doi: 10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2022.04.002



- 179. Tegen D, Dessie K, Damtie D. Candidate anti-COVID-19 medicinal plants from Ethiopia: a review of plants traditionally used to treat viral diseases. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med. 2021;6622410. doi: 10.1155/2021/6622410
- 180. Thakar A, Panara K, Goyal M, Kumari R, Sungchol K.AYUSH (Indian system of medicines) therapeutics for COVID-19: a living systematic review and meta-analysis (first update). J Integr Complement Med. 2022. doi: 10.1089/jicm.2022.0559
- 181. Umeoguaju F, Ephraim-Emmanuel BC, Patrick-Iwuanyanwu KC, Zelikoff JT, Orisakwe OE. Plant-derived food grade substances (PDFGS) active against respiratory viruses: a systematic review of non-clinical studies. Front Nutr. 2021;8:606782. doi: 10.3389/fnut.2021.606782
- 182. Umeoguaju FU, Ephraim-Emmanuel BC, Uba JO, Bekibele GE, Chigozie N, Orisakwe OE. Immunomodulatory and mechanistic considerations of Hibiscus sabdariffa (HS) in dysfunctional immune responses: a systematic review. Front Immunol. 2021;12:550670. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2021.550670
- Vahedian-Azimi A, Abbasifard M, Rahimi-Bashar F, et al. Effectiveness of curcumin on outcomes of hospitalized COVID-19 patients: a systematic review of clinical trials. *Nutrients*. 2022;14(2):256.
- 184. Varikasuvu SR, Thangappazham B, Vykunta A, et al. COVID-19 and vitamin D (Co-VIVID study): a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Expert Rev Anti-Infect Ther. 2022;20(6):1-7.
- 185. Vollbracht C, Kraft K. Feasibility of vitamin C in the treatment of post viral fatigue with focus on long COVID, based on a systematic review of IV vitamin C on fatigue. Nutrients. 2021;13(4):1154.
- Wang H, Xu B, Zhang Y, et al. Efficacy and safety of traditional Chinese medicine in Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12:609213. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.609213
- 187. Wang Q, Zhu H, Li M, et al. Efficacy and safety of Qingfei Paidu decoction for treating COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12:688857. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2021.688857
- 188. Wang SH, Qin PJ, Zhang FX, et al. Lianhua Qingwen combined with conventional Western medicine in treatment of COVID-19: an overview of systematic reviews. *Chin Tradit Herb*. 2022;53(8)2460-2469.
- 189. Wang X, Ma T, Zhang W, Chu Q. Effectiveness and safety research of Qingfei Paidu (QFPD) in treatment of COVID-19: an up-to-date systematic review and meta-analysis. *Chin Med.* 2022;17(1):1-16.
- Wang XC, Wu GL, Cai YF, Zhang SJ. The safety and efficacy of melatonin in the treatment of COVID-19:a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Medicine*. 2022;101(39):e30874.
- 191. Wang Y, Greenhalgh T, Wardle J, Oxford TCM Rapid Review Team. Chinese herbal medicine ("3 medicines and 3 formulations") for COVID-19: rapid systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Eval Clin Pract*. 2022;28(1):13-32.
- Wang Z, Yang L. Chinese herbal medicine: fighting SARS-CoV-2 infection on all fronts. J Ethnopharmacol. 2021;270:113869.
- Wen GY, Lyu S, Yang XH, Han Q, Cheng MY. Systematic review of integrated traditional Chinese and western medicine in treatment of COVID-19. *Chin Tradit Herb*. 2021: 6953-6961.
- 194. Wu H, Dai R, Wu X, et al. Efficacy and safety of Chinese medicine for COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Chin Med*. 2022;50(2):333-349. doi: 10.1142/S0192415X22500136
- Wu HT, Ji CH, Dai RC, et al. Traditional Chinese medicine treatment for COVID-19: an overview of systematic reviews and meta-analyses. J Integr Med. 2022.20(5);416-426. doi: 10.1016/j.joim.2022.06.00
- 196. Wu XV, Dong Y, Chi Y, Yu M, Wang W. Traditional Chinese medicine as a complementary therapy in combat with COVID-19—a review of evidencebased research and clinical practice. J Adv Nurs. 2021;77(4):1635-1644.
- 197. Xavier-Santos D, Padilha M, Fabiano GA, et al. Evidence and perspectives of the use of probiotics, prebiotics, synbiotics, and postbiotics as adjuvants for prevention and treatment of COVID-19: a bibliometric analysis and systematic review. *Trends Food Sci Technol*. 2022;120:174-192. doi: 10.1016/j. tifs 2021.12.033
- Xiong X, Wang P, Su K, Cho WC, Xing Y. Chinese herbal medicine for Coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pharmacol Res*. 2020;160:105056.

- 199. Xu J, Liu H, Fan Y, Ji B. Traditional Chinese medicine is effective for COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Med Nov Technol Devices. 2022;16:100139. doi: 10.1016/j.medntd.2022.100139
- 200. Yan LZ, Mao FW, Cao YH, Xie M. Clinical effects of the combination of traditional Chinese and Western medicines on coronavirus disease 2019: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Tradit Chin MedI*. 2021;41(4):499-506. doi: 10.19852/j.cnki.jtcm.2021.03.001
- 201. Yang Z, Zhang S, Tang YP, Zhang S, Yue SJ, Liu QL. Efficacy and safety of Qingfei Paidu decoction for patients with COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Asian J Complement Alternat Med. 2022;10(1):6-15. doi:10.53043/2347-3894.acam90024
- 202. Yao J, Zhang Y, Wang XZ, et al. Flavonoids for treating viral acute respiratory tract infections: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 30 randomized controlled trials. Front Public Health. 2022;10:814669. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2022.814669
- 203. Yin B, Bi YM, Sun L, et al. Efficacy of integrated traditional Chinese and Western medicine for treating COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs. Front Public Health. 2021;9:892.
- 204. Yisak H, Ewunetei A, Kefale B, et al. Effects of vitamin D on COVID-19 infection and prognosis: a systematic review. Risk Manag Healthc Policy. 2021;14:31-38. doi: 10.2147/RMHP.S291584
- 205. Yu R, Zhang S, Zhao D, Yuan Z. A systematic review of outcomes in COVID-19 patients treated with Western medicine in combination with traditional Chinese medicine versus Western medicine alone. Exp Rev Mol Med. 2022;24:e5. doi: 10.1017/erm.2021.35
- Zeng M, Li L, Wu Z. Traditional Chinese medicine Lianhua Qingwen treating Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. PLOS One. 202;15(9):e0238828.
- Zhang L, Liu Y. Potential interventions for novel Coronavirus in China: a systematic review. J Med Virol. 2020;92(5):479-490.
- Zhang L, Ma Y, Shi N, et al. Effect of Qingfei Paidu decoction combined with Western medicine treatments for COVID-19: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Phytomedicine*. 2022;154166.
- 209. Zhang S, Yang Z, Chen ZL, et al. Efficacy and safety of "three Chinese patent medicines and three TCM prescriptions" for COVID-19: a systematic review and network meta-analysis. *Evid Based Complement Alternat Med.* 2022;4654793. doi: 10.1155/2022/4654793
- 210. Zhou L, Wang J, Xie RH, et al. The effects of traditional Chinese medicine as an auxiliary treatment for COVID-19: a systematic review and metaanalysis. J Alternat Complement Med. 2021;27(3):225-237.
- 211. Zhuang J, Dai X, Wu Q, et al. A meta-analysis for Lianhua Qingwen on the treatment of Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Complement Ther Med.* 2021;60:102754.
- 212. Zhuang J, Dai X, Zhang W, et al. Efficacy and safety of integrated traditional Chinese and Western medicine against COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Phytother Res*.2022;36(12):4371-4397. doi: 10.1002/ ptr.7643
- 213. Schloss J, Lauche R, Harnett J, et al. Rapid review of systematic reviews on the efficacy and safety of vitamin C in the management of acute respiratory infection and disease. Adv Integr Med. 2020;7(4):187-191. doi: 10.1016/j. aimed.2020.07.008
- 214. Bradley R, Schloss J, Brown D, et al. The effects of Vitamin D on acute viral respiratory infections: a rapid review. *Adv Integr Med.* 2020;7(4):192-202. doi: 10.1016/j.aimed.2020.07.011
- 215. Cramer H, Hannan N, Schloss J, Leach M, Lloyd I, Steel A. Multivitamins for acute respiratory tract infections: a rapid review. *Adv Integr Med.* 2020;7(4):227-231. doi: 10.1016/j.aimed.2020.07.010
- 216. Arentz S, Yang G, Goldenberg J, et al. Clinical significance summary: preliminary results of a rapid review of zinc for the prevention and treatment of SARS-CoV-2 and other acute viral respiratory infections. *Adv Integr Med*. 2020;7(4):252-260. doi: 10.1016/j.aimed.2020.07.009
- Aucoin M, Cooley K, Saunders PR, et al. The effect of quercetin on the prevention or treatment of COVID-19 and other respiratory tract infections in humans: a rapid review. *Adv Integr Med.* 2020;7(4):247-251. doi: 10.1016/j. aimed.2020.07.007



- 218. Schloss J, Leach M, Brown D, Hannan N, Kendall-Reed P, Steel A. The effects of N-Acetyl Cysteine on acute viral respiratory infections in humans: a rapid review. Adv Integr Med. 2020;7(4):232-239. doi: 10.1016/j.aimed.2020.07.006
- 219. Prall S, Bowles EJ, Benett K, et al. Effects of essential oils on symptoms and course (duration and severity) of viral respiratory infections in humans: a rapid review. Adv Integr Med. 2020;7(4):218-221. doi: 10.1016/j. aimed.2020.07.005
- 220. Aucoin M, Cooley K, Saunders PR, et al. The effect of Echinacea spp. on the prevention or treatment of COVID-19 and other respiratory tract infections in humans: a rapid review. Adv Integr Med. 2020;7(4):203-217. doi: 10.1016/j.
- 221. Barnes LAJ, Leach M, Anheyer D, et al. The effects of Hedera helix on viral respiratory infections in humans: a rapid review. Adv Integr Med. 2020;7(4):222-226. doi: 10.1016/j.aimed.2020.07.012
- 222. Harnett, J, Oakes K, Carè J, et al. The effects of Sambucus nigra berry on acute respiratory viral infections: a rapid review of clinical studies. Adv Integr Med. 2020;7(4):240-246. doi: 10.1016/j.aimed.2020.07.016
- 223. Zhao Z, Li Y, Zhou L, et al. Prevention and treatment of COVID-19 using traditional Chinese medicine: a review. Phytomedicine. 2021;85:153308.
- 224. Hariton E, Locascio J. Randomised controlled trials—the gold standard for effectiveness research. BJOG. 2018;125(13):1716.